Semaphores CSC 343, Operating Systems

Topics covered in this lecture

- Condition variables
- Semaphores
- Signaling through condition variables and semaphores
- Concurrency bugs

This slide deck covers chapters 30, 31, 32 in OSTEP.

In concurrent programming, a common scenario is one thread waiting for another thread to complete an action.

```
1 bool done = false;
2
3 /* called in the child to signal termination */
4 void thr_exit() {
5 done = true;
6 }
7 /* called in the parent to wait for a child thread */
8 void thr_join() {
9 while (!done);
10 }
```

Locks enable mutual exclusion of a shared region.

- Unfortunately they are oblivious to ordering
- Waiting and signaling (i.e., T2 waits until T1 completes a given task) could be implemented by spinning until the value changes
- But spinning is incredibly inefficient
- New synchronization primitive: condition variables

Locks enable mutual exclusion of a shared region.

- Unfortunately they are oblivious to ordering
- Waiting and signaling (i.e., T2 waits until T1 completes a given task) could be implemented by spinning until the value changes
- But spinning is incredibly *inefficient*
- New synchronization primitive: condition variables

- Locks enable mutual exclusion of a shared region.
 - Unfortunately they are oblivious to ordering
- Waiting and signaling (i.e., T2 waits until T1 completes a given task) could be implemented by spinning until the value changes
- But spinning is incredibly *inefficient*
- New synchronization primitive: condition variables

A CV allows:

- A thread to wait for a condition
- Another thread signals the waiting thread
- Implement CV using queues

API: wait, signal or broadcast
 wait: wait until a condition is satisfied
 signal: wake up one waiting thread
 broadcast: wake up all waiting threads
 On Linux, pthreads provides CV implementation

A CV allows:

- A thread to wait for a condition
- Another thread signals the waiting thread
- Implement CV using queues
- API: wait, signal or broadcast
 - wait: wait until a condition is satisfied
 - signal: wake up one waiting thread
 - broadcast: wake up all waiting threads
- On Linux, pthreads provides CV implementation

```
1
  bool done = false:
2
  pthread_mutex_t m = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
3
  pthread_cond_t c = PTHREAD_COND_INITIALIZER;
4 /* called in the child to signal termination */
5
  void thr_exit() {
6
     pthread_mutex_lock(&m);
7
    done = true;
8
    pthread_cond_signal(&c);
9
     pthread mutex unlock(&m);
10 }
11 /* called in the parent to wait for a child thread */
12 void thr join() {
   pthread mutex lock(&m);
13
14 while (!done)
15
     pthread_cond_wait(&c, &m);
16 pthread_mutex_unlock(&m);
17 }
```

- Assume mutex m is held; *atomically* unlock mutex when waiting, retake it when waking up
- Question: Why do we need to check a condition before sleeping?
- Thread may have already exited, i.e., no need to wait
 Principle: Check the condition before sleeping
- Question: Why can't we use if when waiting?
- Multiple threads could be woken up, racing for done flag
 Principle: while instead of if when waiting

- Assume mutex m is held; *atomically* unlock mutex when waiting, retake it when waking up
- Question: Why do we need to check a condition before sleeping?
- Thread may have already exited, i.e., no need to wait
 Principle: Check the condition before sleeping
- Question: Why can't we use if when waiting?
- Multiple threads could be woken up, racing for done flag
 Principle: while instead of if when waiting

- Assume mutex m is held; *atomically* unlock mutex when waiting, retake it when waking up
- Question: Why do we need to check a condition before sleeping?
- Thread may have already exited, i.e., no need to wait
 Principle: Check the condition before sleeping
- Question: Why can't we use if when waiting?
- Multiple threads could be woken up, racing for done flag
 Principle: while instead of if when waiting

- Assume mutex m is held; *atomically* unlock mutex when waiting, retake it when waking up
- Question: Why do we need to check a condition before sleeping?
- Thread may have already exited, i.e., no need to wait
 Principle: Check the condition before sleeping
- Question: Why can't we use if when waiting?
- Multiple threads could be woken up, racing for done flag
 - Principle: while instead of if when waiting

Question: Why do we need to proctect done with mutex m?

- Mutex m allows one thread to access done for protecting against missed updates
 - Parent reads done == false but is interrupted
 - Child sets done = true and signals but no one is waiting
 - Parent continues and goes to sleep (forever)
- Lock is therefore required for wait/signal synchronization

- Question: Why do we need to proctect done with mutex m?
- Mutex m allows one thread to access done for protecting against missed updates
 - Parent reads done == false but is interrupted
 - Child sets done = true and signals but no one is waiting
 - Parent continues and goes to sleep (forever)
- Lock is therefore required for wait/signal synchronization

Producer/consumer synchronization

- Producer/consumer is a common programming pattern
- For example: map (producers) / reduce (consumer)
- For example: a concurrent database (consumers) handling parallel requests from clients (producers)
 - Clients produce new requests (encoded in a queue)
 - Handlers consume these requests (popping from the queue)
- Strategy: use CV to synchronize
 - Make producers wait if buffer is full
 - Make consumers wait if buffer is empty (nothing to consume)

Producer/consumer synchronization

- Producer/consumer is a common programming pattern
- For example: map (producers) / reduce (consumer)
- For example: a concurrent database (consumers) handling parallel requests from clients (producers)
 - Clients produce new requests (encoded in a queue)
 - Handlers consume these requests (popping from the queue)
- Strategy: use CV to synchronize
 - Make producers wait if buffer is full
 - Make consumers wait if buffer is empty (nothing to consume)

Condition variables

- Programmer must keep state, orthogonal to locks
- CV enables access to critical section with a thread wait queue
- Always wait/signal while holding lock
- Whenever thread wakes, recheck state

Semaphore

- A semaphore extends a CV with an integer as internal state
- int sem_init(sem_t *sem, unsigned int value):
 creates a new semaphore with value slots
- int sem_wait(sem_t *sem): waits until the semaphore has at least one slot, decrements the number of slots
- int sem_post(sem_t *sem): increments the semaphore
 (and wakes one waiting thread)
- int sem_destroy(sem_t *sem): destroys the semaphore
 and releases any waiting threads

Concurrent programming: producer consumer

- One or more producers create items, store them in buffer
- One or more consumers process items from buffer
- Need synchronization for buffer
 - Want concurrent production and consumption
 - Use as many cores as available
 - Minimize access time to shared data structure

Concurrent programming: producer consumer

- One or more producers create items, store them in buffer
- One or more consumers process items from buffer
- Need synchronization for buffer
 - Want concurrent production and consumption
 - Use as many cores as available
 - Minimize access time to shared data structure

Concurrent programming

```
1 void *producer(void *arg) {
2
    unsigned int max = (unsigned int)arg;
    for (unsigned int i = 0; i < max; i++) {</pre>
3
4
            put(i); // store in shared buffer
5
   }
6
    return NULL;
7 }
8 void *consumer(void *arg) {
    unsigned int max = (unsigned int)arg;
9
10 for (unsigned int i = 0; i < max; i++) {
11
        printf("%d\n", get(i)); // recv from buffer
12 }
13 return NULL;
14 }
pthread_t p, c;
pthread_create(&p, NULL, &producer, (void*)NUMITEMS);
pthread_create(&c, NULL, &consumer, (void*)NUMITEMS);
```

Concurrent programming:

```
unsigned int buffer[BUFSIZE] = { 0 };
1
  unsigned int cpos = 0, ppos = 0;
2
3
  void put(unsigned int val) {
4
5
    buffer[ppos] = val;
   ppos = (ppos + 1) \% BUFSIZE;
6
7
 }
8
9
  unsigned int get() {
10 unsigned long val = buffer[cpos];
11 cpos = (cpos + 1) % BUFSIZE;
12 return val;
13 }
```

What are the issues in this code?

Producers may overwrite unconsumed entriesConsumers may consume uninitialized or stale entries

Concurrent programming:

```
unsigned int buffer[BUFSIZE] = { 0 };
1
  unsigned int cpos = 0, ppos = 0;
2
3
  void put(unsigned int val) {
4
5
    buffer[ppos] = val;
   ppos = (ppos + 1) \% BUFSIZE;
6
7 }
8
9
  unsigned int get() {
10 unsigned long val = buffer[cpos];
11 cpos = (cpos + 1) % BUFSIZE;
12 return val;
13 }
```

What are the issues in this code?

- Producers may overwrite unconsumed entries
- Consumers may consume uninitialized or stale entries

Producer/consumer: use semaphores!

sem_t csem, psem;

/* BUFSIZE items are available for producer to create */
sem_init(&psem, 0, BUFSIZE);

/* 0 items are available for consumer */
sem_init(&csem, 0, 0);

Producer: semaphores

```
void put(unsigned int val) {
1
 /* we wait until there is buffer space available */
2
3
    sem wait(&psem);
4
5 /* store element in buffer */
6
    buffer[ppos] = val;
7
    ppos = (ppos + 1) % BUFSIZE;
8
9
    /* notify consumer that data is available */
10 sem_post(&csem);
11 }
```

Consumer: semaphores

```
unsigned int get() {
1
2
   /* wait until data is produced */
3
    sem wait(&csem);
4
5 /* consumer entry */
6
    unsigned long val = buffer[cpos];
7
    cpos = (cpos + 1) % BUFSIZE;
8
    /* notify producer that a space has freed up */
9
    sem post(&psem);
10
11 return val:
12 }
```

Producer/consumer: remaining issues?

- We now synchronize between consumers and producers
 - Producer waits until buffer space is available
 - Consumer waits until data is ready

How would you handle multiple producers/consumers?
 Currently no synchronization between producers (or consumers)

Producer/consumer: remaining issues?

- We now synchronize between consumers and producers
 - Producer waits until buffer space is available
 - Consumer waits until data is ready
- How would you handle multiple producers/consumers?
 - Currently no synchronization between producers (or consumers)

Multiple producers: use locking!

```
/* mutex handling mutual exclusive access to ppos */
1
  pthread_mutex_t pmutex = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
2
3
  void put(unsigned int val) {
4
   unsigned int mypos;
5
   /* we wait until there is buffer space available */
6
   sem wait(&psem);
7
   /* ppos is shared between all producers */
   pthread mutex lock(&pmutex);
8
9
   mypos = ppos;
10
   ppos = (ppos + 1) % BUFSIZE;
   /* store information in buffer */
11
   buffer[mypos] = val;
12
   pthread_mutex_unlock(&pmutex);
13
   sem_post(&csem);
14
15 }
```

Semaphores/spin locks/CVs are interchangeable

- Each is implementable through a combination of the others
- Depending on the use-case one is faster than the other
 - How often is the critical section executed?
 - How many threads compete for a critical section?
 - How long is the lock taken?

Implementing a mutex with a semaphore

```
1 sem_t sem;
2 sem_init(&sem, 1);
3 
4 sem_wait(&sem);
5 ... // critical section
6 sem_post(&sem);
```

Implementing a semaphore with CV/locks

```
typedef struct {
1
  int value; // sem value
2
3
   pthread mutex t lock; // access to sem
4
   pthread cond t cond; // wait queue
5
  } sem t;
6
7
  void sem init(sem t *s, int val) {
   s->value = val:
8
9
   pthread mutex init(&(s->lock), NULL);
   pthread cond init(&(s->cond), NULL);
10
11 }
```

Implementing a semaphore with CV/locks

```
void sem wait(sem t *s) {
1
2
    pthread mutex lock(&(s->lock));
3
    while (s->value <= 0)</pre>
        pthread_cond_wait(&(s->cond), &(s->lock));
4
5
    s->value--:
6
    pthread_mutex_unlock(&(s->lock));
7
   }
8
   void sem_post(sem_t *s) {
9
    pthread_mutex_lock(&(s->lock));
10
11
    s->value++;
    pthread_cond_signal(&(s->cond));
12
13
    pthread mutex unlock(&(s->lock));
14
    }
```

Reader/writer locks

- A single (exclusive) writer, multiple (N) concurrent readers
- Implement using two semaphores: lock for the data structure, wlock for the writer
 - Both semaphores initialized with (1)
 - Writer only waits/posts on wlock when acquiring/releasing
 - Reader waits on lock, increments/decrements reader count
 - If number of readers==0, must wait/post on wlock

Reader/writer locks

```
void rwlock_acquire_readlock(rwlock_t *rw) {
1
2
    sem wait(&rw->lock);
3
    rw->readers++;
 if (rw \rightarrow readers == 1)
4
5
       sem_wait(&rw->wlock); // first r, also grab wlock
6
    sem post(&rw->lock);
7
  }
8
9
   void rwlock_release_readlock(rwlock_t *rw) {
    sem wait(&rw->lock);
10
11 rw->readers--;
13 if (rw \rightarrow readers == 0)
14
      sem_post(&rw->wlock); // last r, also release wlock
    sem_post(&rw->lock);
15
16 }
```

Bugs in concurrent programs

- Writing concurrent programs is hard!
- Atomicity bug: concurrent, unsynchronized modification (lock!)
- Order-violating bug: data is accessed in wrong order (use CV!)
- *Deadlock:* program no longer makes progress (locking order)

Atomicity bugs

One thread checks value and prints it while another thread concurrently modifies it.

```
1
   int shared = 24;
2
3
  void T1() \{
    if (shared > 23) {
4
5
        printf("Shared is >23: %d\n", shared);
   }
6
7
 }
8 void T2() {
9
    shared = 12;
10 }
```

T2 may modify shared between if check and printf in T1.
 Fix: use a common mutex between both threads when accessing the shared resource.

Atomicity bugs

One thread checks value and prints it while another thread concurrently modifies it.

```
1
   int shared = 24;
2
3
  void T1() \{
    if (shared > 23) {
4
5
        printf("Shared is >23: %d\n", shared);
   }
6
7 }
8 void T2() {
9
    shared = 12;
10 }
```

T2 may modify shared between if check and printf in T1.
Fix: use a common mutex between both threads when accessing the shared resource.

Order-violating bug

One thread assumes the other has already updated a value.

```
Thread 1::
void init() {
  mThread = PR_CreateThread(mMain, ...);
  mThread->State = ...;
}
Thread 2::
void mMain(...) {
  mState = mThread->State;
}
```

Thread 2 may run before mThread is assigned in T1.
 Fix: use a CV to signal that mThread has been initialized.

Order-violating bug

One thread assumes the other has already updated a value.

```
Thread 1::
void init() {
  mThread = PR_CreateThread(mMain, ...);
  mThread->State = ...;
}
Thread 2::
void mMain(...) {
  mState = mThread->State;
}
```

Thread 2 may run before mThread is assigned in T1.
Fix: use a CV to signal that mThread has been initialized.

Deadlock

Locks are taken in conflicting order.

```
void T1() {
    lock(L1);
    lock(L2);
}
```

```
void T2() {
    lock(L2);
    lock(L1);
```

}

- Threads 1/2 may be stuck after taking the first lock, program makes no more progress
- Fix: acquire locks in increasing (global) order.

Deadlock

Locks are taken in conflicting order.

```
void T1() {
    lock(L1);
    lock(L2);
}
void T2() {
```

```
lock(L2);
lock(L1);
```

}

- Threads 1/2 may be stuck after taking the first lock, program makes no more progress
- Fix: acquire locks in increasing (global) order.

Summary

■ Spin lock, CV, and semaphore synchronize multiple threads

- Spin lock: atomic access, no ordering, spinning
- Condition variable: atomic access, queue, OS primitive
- Semaphore: shared access to critical section with (int) state
- All three primitives are equally powerful
 - Each primitive can be used to implement both other primitives
 - Performance may differ!
- Synchronization is challenging and may introduce different types of bugs such as atomicity violation, order violation, or deadlocks.