

Propositional Logic

CPSC 447 - Artificial Intelligence I

Propositions

- A *proposition* is a declarative sentence that is either true or false

Propositional Variables

- A *propositional variable* (p, q, r, s, \dots) is a mathematical variable representing a proposition
- The value of a propositional variable is true, denoted by **T**, or false, denoted by **F**

Compound Propositions

- A *compound proposition* is a proposition constructed by combining propositions with logical operators
- Logical operators:
 - \neg : Negation
 - \vee : Disjunction
 - \wedge : Conjunction
 - \oplus : Exclusive Or
 - \rightarrow : Conditional
 - \leftrightarrow : Biconditional

Truth Tables

- A *truth table* is used to summarize some or all of the possible values of one or more propositions in conjunction with any number of logical operations on those propositions.

Negation

- The *negation* of a proposition p is denoted by $\neg p$ and has the following truth table:

| p | $\neg p$ |
|-----|----------|
| T | F |
| F | T |

Conjunction

- The *conjunction* of a propositions p and q is denoted by $p \wedge q$ and has the following truth table:

| p | q | $p \wedge q$ |
|-----|-----|--------------|
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | F |
| F | F | F |

Disjunction

- The *disjunction* of propositions p and q is denoted by $p \vee q$ and has the following truth table:

| p | q | $p \vee q$ |
|-----|-----|------------|
| T | T | T |
| T | F | T |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | F |

Exclusive Or

- The *exclusive or* of propositions p and q is denoted by $p \oplus q$ and has the following truth table:

| p | q | $p \oplus q$ |
|-----|-----|--------------|
| T | T | F |
| T | F | T |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | F |

Implication

- The *conditional statement* or *implication* of propositions p and q is denoted by $p \rightarrow q$ and has the following truth table:

| p | q | $p \rightarrow q$ |
|-----|-----|-------------------|
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | T |

- $p \rightarrow q$ is read “If p then q ”
- In $p \rightarrow q$, p is the *hypothesis* (*antecedent* or *premise*) and q is the *conclusion* (or *consequence*)

Converse, Contrapositive, and Inverse

- From $p \rightarrow q$ we can form new conditional statements
 - $q \rightarrow p$ is the *converse* of $p \rightarrow q$
 - $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ is the *contrapositive* of $p \rightarrow q$
 - $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ is the *inverse* of $p \rightarrow q$

Biconditional

- The *biconditional* of propositions p and q is denoted by $p \leftrightarrow q$ and has the following truth table:

| p | q | $p \leftrightarrow q$ |
|-----|-----|-----------------------|
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | F |
| F | F | T |

- $p \leftrightarrow q$ is read “ p if and only if q ”

Truth Tables for Compound Propositions

- Truth table construction:
 - We need a row for every possible combination of truth values for the atomic propositions
 - We need a column for the compound proposition
 - We need a column for each subexpression (including the atomic propositions)

Equivalent Propositions

- Two propositions are *equivalent* if they always have the same truth value
- Example: the conditional is equivalent to the contrapositive

| p | q | $\neg p$ | $\neg q$ | $p \rightarrow q$ | $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ |
|-----|-----|----------|----------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| T | T | F | F | T | T |
| T | F | F | T | F | F |
| F | T | T | F | T | T |
| F | F | T | T | T | T |

Precedence of Logical Operators

| Operator | Precedence |
|-------------------|------------|
| \neg | 1 |
| \wedge | 2 |
| \vee | 3 |
| \rightarrow | 4 |
| \leftrightarrow | 5 |

Tautologies, Contradictions, and Contingencies

- A *tautology* is a proposition that is always true
 - Example: $p \vee \neg p$
- A *contradiction* is a proposition that is always false
 - Example: $p \wedge \neg p$
- A *contingency* is a proposition that is neither a tautology nor a contradiction

Logic Equivalence

- Two compound propositions p and q are logically equivalent if $p \leftrightarrow q$ is a tautology
- This is denoted as $p \equiv q$
- Logical equivalence can be shown with a truth table; the compound propositions p and q are equivalent if and only if the columns in the truth table agree

De Morgan's Laws

- $\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$
- $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$
- Truth table for second law:

| p | q | $\neg p$ | $\neg q$ | $p \vee q$ | $\neg(p \vee q)$ | $\neg p \wedge \neg q$ |
|-----|-----|----------|----------|------------|------------------|------------------------|
| T | T | F | F | T | F | F |
| T | F | F | T | T | F | F |
| F | T | T | F | T | F | F |
| F | F | T | T | F | T | T |

Key Logical Equivalences

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| Identity Laws: | $p \wedge T \equiv p, \quad p \vee F \equiv p$ |
| Domination Laws: | $p \vee T \equiv T, \quad p \wedge F \equiv F$ |
| Idempotent Laws: | $p \vee p \equiv p, \quad p \wedge p \equiv p$ |
| Double Negation Law: | $\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$ |
| Negation Laws: | $p \vee \neg p \equiv T, \quad p \wedge \neg p \equiv F$ |
| Commutative Laws: | $p \vee q \equiv q \vee p, \quad p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$ |
| Associative Laws: | $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$ $(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$ |
| Distributive Laws: | $(p \vee (q \wedge r)) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$ $(p \wedge (q \vee r)) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$ |
| Absorption Laws: | $p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$ $p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$ |

Logical Equivalences Involving Conditional Statements

- $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$
- $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
- $p \vee q \equiv \neg p \rightarrow q$
- $p \wedge q \equiv \neg(p \rightarrow \neg q)$
- $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q$
- $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$
- $(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \vee q) \rightarrow r$
- $(p \rightarrow q) \vee (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$
- $(p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

Equivalence Proofs

- A compound proposition can be replaced by a logically equivalent compound proposition without changing its truth value
- We can show that two propositions are logically equivalent by developing a series of logically equivalent statements
- To prove that $A \equiv B$, we can develop a series of equivalences beginning with A and ending with B :

$$\begin{aligned} A &\equiv A_1 \\ &\equiv A_2 \\ &\vdots \\ &\equiv B \end{aligned}$$

Equivalence Proof Example

Show that $\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q))$ is logically equivalent to $\neg p \wedge \neg q$

$$\begin{aligned}\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q)) &\equiv \neg p \wedge \neg(\neg p \wedge q) && \text{by De Morgan's law} \\ &\equiv \neg p \wedge (\neg(\neg p) \vee \neg q) && \text{by De Morgan's law} \\ &\equiv \neg p \wedge (p \vee \neg q) && \text{by the double negation law} \\ &\equiv (\neg p \wedge p) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q) && \text{by the distributive law} \\ &\equiv F \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q) && \text{by the negation law} \\ &\equiv (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee F && \text{by the commutative law} \\ &\equiv (\neg p \wedge \neg q) && \text{by the identity law}\end{aligned}$$

Equivalence Proof Example

Show that $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q)$ is a tautology

$$\begin{aligned}(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q) &\equiv \neg(p \wedge q) \vee (p \vee q) && \text{by } p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q \\ &\equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee (p \vee q) && \text{by De Morgan's law} \\ &\equiv (\neg p \vee p) \vee (\neg q \vee q) && \text{by the associative and} \\ &\vdots && \text{commutative laws} \\ &\equiv T \vee T && \text{by the negation law} \\ &\equiv T && \text{by the domination law}\end{aligned}$$