

Cache Memories

CPSC 235 - Computer Organization

References

- Slides adapted from CMU


Outline

- Cache memory organization and operation
- Performance impact of caches
 - The memory mountain
 - Rearranging loops to improve spatial locality
 - Using blocking to improve temporal locality


Recall: Locality

- Principle of Locality: Programs tend to use data and instructions with addresses near or equal to those they have used recently
- Temporal locality:
 - Recently referenced items are likely to be referenced again in the near future
- Spatial locality:
 - Items with nearby addresses tend to be referenced close together in time

Recall: Memory Hierarchy



Recall: General Cache Concepts




Recall: General Cache Concepts


- A cache hit is when the data in block b is needed and is in the cache
- A cache miss is when the data in block b is needed and is in not the cache
- Types of cache misses:
 - Cold (compulsory) miss: occur because the cache starts empty and this is the first reference to the block
 - Capacity miss: occur when the set of active cache blocks (working set) is larger than the cache
 - Conflict miss: occur when the level k cache is large enough, but multiple data objects all map to the same level k block where a block is a small subset of the block positions at level $k - 1$

Cache Memories

- Cache memories are small, fast SRAM-based memories managed automatically in hardware
 - Hold frequently accessed blocks of main memory
- CPU looks first for data in cache
- Typical system structure:



General Cache Organization (S, E, B)




Cache Read


- Locate set
- Check if any line in set has matching tag
- Yes and the line is valid: hit
- Locate data starting at offset

Example: Direct-Mapped Cache

- Direct mapped: one line per set ($E = 1$)




Example: Direct-Mapped Cache



- Note: the middle bits are used for indexing due to better locality

Example: Direct-Mapped Cache



- Note: if tag does not match, then old line is evicted and replaced

Direct-Mapped Cache Simulation

- Parameters: 4-bit addresses (address space size $M = 16$ bytes), $S = 4$ sets, $E = 1$ Block per set, $B = 2$ bytes per block
- Address trace (reads, one byte per read)

Address	t	s	b	Type
0	0	00	0	miss (cold)
1	0	00	1	hit
7	0	11	1	miss (cold)
8	1	00	0	miss (cold)
0	0	00	0	miss (conflict)

Direct-Mapped Cache Simulation

- Cache after trace

Set	Valid	Tag	Block
0	1	0	M[0-1]
1	0		
2	0		
3	1	0	M[6-7]

Example: E-way Set Associative Cache

- There are E lines per set
- Procedure
 - Find the set with the s-bits
 - Compare the tag for all E lines to the t-bits
 - If any of the tags match, then there is a hit
 - Otherwise, select a line for eviction and replacement from within the set
- There are many ways to select a replacement: random, least recently used (LRU), etc.

2-way Set Associative Cache Simulation

- Parameters: 4-bit addresses (address space size $M = 16$ bytes), $S = 2$ sets, $E = 2$ blocks per set, $B = 2$ bytes per block
- Address trace (reads, one byte per read)

Address	t	s	b	Type
0	00	0	0	miss
1	00	0	1	hit
7	01	1	1	miss
8	10	0	0	miss
0	00	0	0	hit

2-way Set Associative Cache Simulation


- Cache after trace

Set	Line	Valid	Tag	Block
0	1	1	00	M[0-1]
0	2	1	10	M[8-9]
1	1	1	01	M[6-7]
1	2	0		

Cache Writes

- Multiple copies of data exist:
 - L1, L2, L3, Main Memory, Disk
- What to do on a write-hit?
 - Write-through (write immediately to memory)
 - Write-back (defer write to memory until replacement of line)
 - Each cache line needs a dirty bit (set if data differs from memory)
- What to do on a write-miss?
 - Write-allocate (load into cache, update line in cache)
 - Good if more writes to the location will follow
 - No-write-allocate (writes straight to memory, does not load into cache)
- Typical combinations
 - Write-through and No-write allocate
 - Write-back and Write-allocate

Intel Core i7 Cache Hierarchy



Intel Core i7 Cache Hierarchy

- L1 i-cache and d-cache:
 - 32 KB, 8-way
 - Access: 4 cycles
- L2 unified cache:
 - 256 KB, 8-way
 - Access: 10 cycles
- L3 unified cache:
 - 8 MB, 16-way
 - Access: 40 - 75 cycles
- Block size: 64 bytes for all caches

Cache Performance Metrics

- Miss Rate
 - Fraction of memory accesses not found in cache (misses / access)
 - Typical numbers:
 - 3-10% for L1
 - can be quite small for L2, depending on size, etc.
- Hit Time
 - Time to deliver a cached block to the processor
 - includes time to determine whether line is in cache
 - Typical numbers:
 - 4 clock cycles for L1
 - 10 clock cycles for L2
- Miss Penalty
 - Additional time required because of a miss
 - typically 50-200 cycles for main memory (trend: increasing)

How Bad Can a Few Cache Misses Be?

- Huge difference between a hit and a miss
 - Could be 100x if just L1 and main memory
- Would you believe 99% hits is twice as good as 97%?
 - Consider this simplified example:
 - cache hit time of 1 cycle
 - cache miss penalty of 100 cycles
 - Average access time:
 - 97% hits: $1 \text{ cycle} + 0.03 \times 100 \text{ cycles} = 4 \text{ cycles}$
 - 99% hits: $1 \text{ cycle} + 0.01 \times 100 \text{ cycles} = 2 \text{ cycles}$
- This is why “miss rate” is used instead of “hit rate”

Writing Cache Friendly Code

- Make the common case go fast
 - Focus on the inner loops of the core functions
- Minimize the misses in the inner loops
 - Repeated references to variables are good (temporal locality)
 - Stride-1 reference patterns are good (spatial locality)
- Key idea: our qualitative notion of locality is quantified through our understanding of cache memories

The Memory Mountain

- Read throughput (read bandwidth)
 - Number of bytes read from memory per second (MB/s)
- Memory mountain: measured read throughput as a function of spatial and temporal locality
 - Compact way to characterize memory system performance


Memory Mountain Test Function

```
long data[MAXELEMS]; /* Global array to traverse */

/* test - Iterate over first "elems" elements of
 *      array "data" with stride of "stride",
 *      using 4x4 loop unrolling.
 */
int test(int elems, int stride) {
    long i, sx2=stride*2, sx3=stride*3, sx4=stride*4;
    long acc0 = 0, acc1 = 0, acc2 = 0, acc3 = 0;
    long length = elems, limit = length - sx4;

    /* Combine 4 elements at a time */
    for (i = 0; i < limit; i += sx4) {
        acc0 = acc0 + data[i];
        acc1 = acc1 + data[i+stride];
        acc2 = acc2 + data[i+sx2];
        acc3 = acc3 + data[i+sx3];
    }
}
```

The Memory Mountain



Matrix Multiplication Example

- Description:
 - Multiply $N \times N$ matrices
 - Matrix elements are doubles (8 bytes)
 - $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ total operations
 - N reads per source element
 - N values summed per destination
 - but may be able to hold in register

Matrix Multiplication Example

■ $C = A \times B$

```
for (i=0; i<n; i++) {  
  for (j=0; j<n; j++) {  
    sum = 0.0;  
    for (k=0; k<n; k++)  
      sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];  
    c[i][j] = sum;  
  }  
}
```

Miss Rate Analysis for Matrix Multiply

- Assume:
 - Block size = 32 B (big enough for doubles)
 - Matrix dimension N is very large
 - Approximate $1/N$ as 0.0
 - Cache is not even big enough to hold multiple rows
- Analysis Method:
 - Look at access pattern of inner loop

Layout of C Arrays in Memory (review)

- C arrays allocated in row-major order

- each row in contiguous memory

- Stepping through columns in one row:

- Code

```
for (i = 0; i < N; i++)  
    sum += a[0][i]
```

- accesses successive elements
- if block size $B > \text{sizeof}(a_{ij})$ bytes, then exploit spatial locality
 - miss rate = $\text{sizeof}(a_{ij})/B$

- Stepping through rows in one column:

- Code

```
for (i = 0; i < N; i++)  
    sum += a[i][0]
```

- accesses distant elements

Matrix Multiplication (ijk)

```
for (i=0; i<n; i++) {  
  for (j=0; j<n; j++) {  
    sum = 0.0;  
    for (k=0; k<n; k++)  
      sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];  
    c[i][j] = sum;  
  }  
}
```

- Miss rate for inner loop iterations
 - A = 0.25 (row-wise)
 - B = 1.0 (column-wise)
 - C = 0.0 (fixed)

Matrix Multiplication (kij)

```
for (k=0; k<n; k++) {  
    for (i=0; i<n; i++) {  
        r = a[i][k];  
        for (j=0; j<n; j++)  
            c[i][j] += r * b[k][j];  
    }  
}
```

- Miss rate for inner loop iterations
 - A = 0.0 (fixed)
 - B = 0.25 (row-wise)
 - C = 0.25 (row-wise)

Matrix Multiplication (jki)


```
for (j=0; j<n; j++) {  
    for (k=0; k<n; k++) {  
        r = b[k][j];  
        for (i=0; i<n; i++)  
            c[i][j] += a[i][k] * r;  
    }  
}
```

- Miss rate for inner loop iterations
 - A = 1.0 (column-wise)
 - B = 0.0 (fixed)
 - C = 1.0 (column-wise)

Summary of Matrix Multiplication

- ijk (and jik)
 - 2 loads, 0 stores
 - average misses per iteration = 1.25
- kij (and ikj)
 - 2 loads, 1 store
 - average misses per iteration = 0.5
- jki (and kji)
 - 2 loads, 1 store
 - average misses per iteration = 2.0

Core i7 Matrix Multiply Performance



Matrix Multiplication (Again)

```
c = (double *) calloc(sizeof(double), n*n);

/* Multiply n x n matrices a and b */
void mmm(double *a, double *b, double *c, int n) {
    int i, j, k;
    for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
        for (j = 0; j < n; j++)
            for (k = 0; k < n; k++)
                c[i*n + j] += a[i*n + k] * b[k*n + j];
}
```

Cache Miss Analysis

- Assume:
 - Matrix elements are doubles
 - Cache line = 8 doubles
 - Cache size is strictly smaller than N
- First iteration:
 - $N/8 + N = 9N/8$ misses
- Second iteration:
 - $N/8 + N = 9N/8$ misses
- Total misses:
 - $9N/8N^2 = (9/8)N^3$

Blocked Matrix Multiplication

```
c = (double *) calloc(sizeof(double), n*n);

/* Multiply n x n matrices a and b */
void mmm(double *a, double *b, double *c, int n) {
    int i, j, k;
    for (i = 0; i < n; i+=L)
        for (j = 0; j < n; j+=L)
            for (k = 0; k < n; k+=L)
                /* L x L mini matrix multiplications */
                for (i1 = i; i1 < i+L; i1++)
                    for (j1 = j; j1 < j+L; j1++)
                        for (k1 = k; k1 < k+L; k1++)
                            c[i1*n+j1] += a[i1*n + k1]*b[k1*n + j1];
}
```

Cache Miss Analysis

- Assume:
 - Cache line = 8 doubles, Blocking size $L \geq 8$
 - Cache size is strictly smaller than N
 - Three blocks fit into cache: $3L^2 < C$
- First (block) iteration:
 - Misses per block: $L^2/8$
 - Blocks per iteration: $2N/L$ (omitting matrix c)
 - Misses per iteration: $2N/L \times L^2/8 = NL/4$
 - Afterwards in cache
- Second (block) iteration:
 - Same misses as first iteration: $NL/4$
- Total misses:
 - $NL/4$ misses per iteration $\times (N/L)^2$ iterations = $N^3/(4L)$ misses

Blocking Summary

- No blocking: $(9/8)N^3$ misses
- Blocking: $(1/(4L))N^3$ misses
- Use largest block size L , such that L satisfies $3L^2 < C$
 - Fit three blocks in cache: two input, one output
- Reason for dramatic difference
 - Matrix multiplication has inherent temporal locality:
 - Input data: $3N^2$, computation $2N^3$
 - Every array element used $\mathcal{O}(n)$ times
 - But, the program needs to be written properly

Cache Summary

- Cache memories can have significant performance impact
- You can write your programs to exploit this
 - Focus on the inner loops, where the bulk of computations and memory accesses occur
 - Try to maximize spatial locality by reading data objects sequentially with stride 1
 - Try to maximize temporal locality by using a data object as often as possible once it is read from memory