CSC 458 Data Mining and Predictive Analytics I, Fall 2019

Dr. Dale E. Parson, Assignment 5, Comprehensive Final Exam Project. Due by 11:59 PM on Wednesday December 11 via make turnitin. I will NOT accept solutions to this Assignment 5 after noon on Thursday December 12.

Perform the following steps to set up for this semester's projects and to get my handout. Start out in your login directory on csit (a.k.a. acad).

cd \$HOME

mkdir DataMine # This should already be there from assignment 1.

cp ~parson/DataMine/csc458fall2019assn5.problem.zip DataMine/csc458fall2019assn5.problem.zip cd ./DataMine

unzip csc458fall2019assn5.problem.zip

cd ./csc458fall2019assn5

EDIT THE SUPPLIED README.txt when the following questions starting at Q1 below.

Keep with the supplied format, and do not turn in a Word or PDF or other file format. I will deduct 20% for other file formats, because with this many varying assignments being turned in, I need a way to grade these in reasonable time, which for me is a batch edit run on the vim editor.

There are three ARFF files in the handout directory.

HawkData20172018Assn5.arff no compression of BWbins=0 instances HawkData20172018Compressed5.arff

compression of contiguous BWbins=0

HawkData20172018Assn5ZDown.arff is HawkData20172018Assn5.arff with 90%

of the BWbins==0 instances removed after randomization.

There is also a prep/ subdirectory with the Python scripts used to prepare this assignment. I have included it only for class-time discussion. You will not change or use it directly.

prep/HawkData20172018Assn5PrePython.arff

Input data from a previous assignment, with some editing for assignment 5. prep/arffio.py

My ARFF I/O library with some enhancements for this assignment.

prep/HawkAssn5GetPrev.py

Maps HawkData20172018Assn5PrePython.arff -> HawkData20172018Assn5.arff prep/HawkAssn5CompressZeroes.py

Maps HawkData20172018Assn5.arff -> HawkData20172018Compressed5.arff

RULES FOR THE FINAL

This is an exam. Therefore, I will answer questions only in class on December 4 and December 11 (6-8 PM on the 11th per final exam hours), other than to clarify any confusing wording and correct any mistakes in this handout. I will email any replies regarding confusing wording or mistakes to the entire class. Regular assignments are learning experiences, and I am happy to drop hints and otherwise encourage students when asked. However, for a final exam you will have learned how to use Weka and interpret data via previous assignments. Also, note the late restriction above.

STEP1: Load **HawkData20172018Assn5.arff** into Weka. This ARFF file is a modified variant of Hawk Mountain data from previous assignments. It contains the following attributes. Note the attributes tagged with **R** for Remove in **STEP2**. These attributes are also <u>underlined</u> below. We are using only Hawk Mountain North Lookout observation data in Assignment 5. There is no Weather Underground or NOAA Sunrise data.

HawkYear R	2017 or 2018 for this dataset
<u>msnyHstart R</u>	Minutes since observation's previous New Year for hawk watch start.
msmnHstart	Minutes since observation day's previous midnight for hawk watch start.
msnyHend R	Minutes since observation's previous New Year for hawk watch end.
msmnHend R	Minutes since observation day's previous midnight for hawk watch end.
msToYearPeak	Minutes to BW peak count for this year, from Assignment 3.
msDuration	Minutes duration of this instance.
WindSpd	North lookout wind speed as a nominal value, via portable anemometer
{'0: less than	1km/h (Calm)', '1: 1-5 km/h (1-3 mph)', '2: 6-11 km/h (4-7 mph)',
'3: 12-19 km	/h (8-12 mph)', '4: 20-28 km/h (13-18 mph)',' '5: 29-38 km/h (19-24 mph)',
'6: 39-49 km	/h (25-31 mph)', '7: 50-61 km/h (32-38 mph)', '8: 62-74 km/h (39-48 mph)',
'9: Greater th	nan 75 km/h'}
WindDir	North lookout wind direction
{Variable,WN	W,NW,SE,E,S,ESE,SW,SSW,N,NNW,NE,ENE,W,WSW,NNE,SSE}
Temp	North lookout Celsius temperature
CloudCover	North lookout cloud cover, units of measure unknown
Visibility	North lookout visibility, units of measure unknown
FlightDIR	Raptor nominal flight direction (SE, etc.), same value set as WindDir
FlightHT	Raptor flight height as a nominal value
{'0: Below ey	e level', '1: Eye level to 30m', 2: Unaided eye', '3: limit of unaided vision',
'4: Binocular	s (to 10X)', '5: At limit of binoculars (10X)', '7: Variable',(none)}
SkyCode	
{'0: Clear', '1:	Partly Cloudy', '2: Mostly Cloudy', '3: Overcast',
'4: wind driv	en sand, snow, dust', '5: Fog or Dense Haze',
'6: Drizzle','	7: Rain', '8. Snow'}
BW R	Broad-winged Hawk count for that observation interval.
BWbins	BW compressed numeric value per Assignment 3 AddExpression ¹ .
HTempPrev72	Temp 72 hours before this instance.
HTempDelta72	Temp - HTempPrev72
HTempPrev48	Temp 48 hours before this instance.
HTempDelta48	Temp – HtempPrev48
HTempPrev24	Temp 24 hours before this instance.
HTempDelta24	Temp – HtempPrev24

Attribute List 1 from HawkData20172018Assn5.arff

¹ Assignment 3's **BWbins** AddExpression

ifelse(aBW=0,0,ifelse(aBW=1,1,ifelse(aBW=2,2,ifelse(aBW<30,3,ifelse(aBW<200,4,ifelse(aBW<1000,5,6)))))).

STEP2: Remove HawkYear, msnyHstart, msnyHend, and msmnHend (attributes tagged with **R**), since they correlate strongly with either msToYearPeak or msmnHstart. Remove BW, also tagged with **R**, because BWbins is the class attribute for this assignment, and BW correlates strongly with BWbins. This removal eliminates trivial prediction of BWbins values via BW by the models. Reorder attributes to place BWbins as the final attribute in the Preprocess list, without changing the relative order of the remaining attributes. <u>SAVE this 18-attribute working dataset in an ARFF file named STEP2.arff</u>. You will work out of this dataset until STEP3. Copy your STEP2.arff into the acad assignment directory for later make turnitin.

Each of Q1 through Q12 is worth 8.33% of this assignment. There are 2 ARFF files to turn in.

Q1: BWbins is our class attribute for this assignment. Run LinearRegression, M5P, and Rules - > M5Rules classifiers, and paste the following result values into Q1 in README.txt. All testing in Assignment 5 uses 10 fold cross-validation, i.e., no external test dataset. How do the LinearRegression and M5P results (correlation coefficient & error measures) compare with your results or my results for Q1 of Assignment 4? I will post my Assignment 4 results after I receive all Assignments 4, or 9 AM on Friday December 6, whichever comes first. I'll email the class.

LinearRegression	
Correlation coefficient	n.n
Relative absolute error	n.n %
Root relative squared error	n.n %
Total Number of Instances	2255
M5P	
Number of Rules : N	
Correlation coefficient	n.n
Relative absolute error	n.n %
Root relative squared error	n.n %
Total Number of Instances	2255

M5Rules

Number of Rules : N (This is the Rule number of the last Rule listed. Examine the Rules.)Correlation coefficientn.nRelative absolute errorn.n %Root relative squared errorn.n %Total Number of Instances2255

Q2: Unsupervised -> attribute -> Discretize BWbins into 7 bins with useEqualFrequency=False and ignoreClass=True. Be very careful to Discretize ONLY the BWbins attribute. We will UNDO this step later. Make sure the 7 discretized bins have the same instance counts as their pre-Discretize numeric bins in the Preprocessor. See Figure 1 below. Run NaiveBayes, BayesNet, and J48, and paste the following result values into Q2 in README.txt. <u>How do the NaiveBayes</u>, BayesNet, and J48 results (% correct and Kappa) compare with your results or my results for Q2 of Assignment 4?

Name: BWbins Missing: 0 (0%)		oins 0%) Distinct: 7		
No.	Label	Count	Weight	
1	'(-inf-0.857143]'	1821	1821.0	
2	'(0.857143-1.714286]'	107	107.0	
3	'(1.714286-2.571429]'	58	58.0	
4	'(2.571429-3.428571]'	195	195.0	
5	'(3.428571-4.285714]'	58	58.0	
6	'(4.285714-5.142857]'	12	12.0	
7	'(5.142857-inf)'	4	4.0	
is: BWb	ins (Nom)			Visualize
ss: BWb	ins (Nom)			Visualize .
is: BWb	ins (Nom)			Visualize
s: BWb	ins (Nom)			Visualize .
s: BWb	ins (Nom)			Visualize /
ss: BWb	ins (Nom)			Visualize /
s: BWb	ins (Nom)			Visualize A
s: BWb	ins (Nom)			Visualize A
ss: BWb	ins (Nom)			Visualize /
1	ins (Nom)			Visualize /
s: BWb	ins (Nom)			Visualize /
s: BWb	ins (Nom)			Visualize .
1	ins (Nom)			Y Visualize /

Figure 1: BWbins distribution after Q2 Discretize step.

NaiveBayes				
Correctly Classified Instances		Ν	n.r	1 %
Kappa statistic	n.n			
Total Number of Instances		2255		
BayesNet				
Correctly Classified Instances		Ν	n.r	1 %
Kappa statistic	n.n			
Total Number of Instances		2255		
J48				
Correctly Classified Instances		Ν	n.r	1 %
Kappa statistic	n.n			
Total Number of Instances		2255		

Q3: What do the changes in Q1 and Q2 in this assignment from the Q1 and Q2 results in Assignment 4 tell you about the importance of collecting weather station data at a separate location (Hamburg) from the raptor data collection site? Note that Q1 and Q2 in Assignment 4 use Hamburg weather station data, while the Assignment 5 dataset does not use weather station data.

Q4: Why do you see the direction of changes (better or worse for each of NaiveBayes, BayesNet, and J48) for Q2 in this assignment from the Q2 results in Assignment 4? In other words, why do these specific modeling algorithms improve or degrade from Assignment 4? (Refer to your Q2 answer above for: <u>How do the NaiveBayes</u>, BayesNet, and J48 results (% correct and Kappa) compare with your results or my results for Q2 of Assignment 4?)

STEP3: Load **HawkData20172018Compressed5.arff** into Weka. This dataset compresses all instances with BW==0 and BWbins==0 (those are the same instances) <u>that are contiguous in</u>

time into single instances. The intent is to treat each block of BW==0 instances as a single datum in which *nothing is happening*. The hope is to reduce the effect of the overwhelming number of BW==0 instances on analysis. Assignment 3 compressed the magnitude outliers of BW into BWbins. **HawkData20172018Compressed5.arff** also compresses the BW==0 histogram outlier. Compare Figure 1's BWbins distribution with Figure 2 below. Note that all bins except bin 0 retain their counts from Figure 1.



Figure 2: BWbins distribution for HawkData20172018Compressed5.arff.

STEP4: Remove the instances removed in STEP2 (HawkYear, msnyHstart, msnyHend, msmnHend, and BW) for the same reasons, and Reorder attributes to place BWbins as the final attribute in the Preprocess list, without changing the relative order of the remaining attributes. In addition to the attributes from HawkData20172018Assn5.arff, HawkData20172018Compressed5.arff adds the following attributes.

TempMean CloudCoverMean VisibilityMean HTempPrev72Mean HTempDelta72Mean HTempPrev48Mean HTempDelta48Mean HTempPrev24Mean HTempDelta24Mean TempMedian CloudCoverMedian VisibilityMedian WindSpdMedian FlightHTMedian **SkyCodeMedian** HTempPrev72Median HTempDelta72Median HTempPrev48Median HTempDelta48Median HTempPrev24Median HTempDelta24Median WindSpdMode WindDirMode FlightDIRMode FlightHTMode SkyCodeMode

Attribute List 2 added by HawkData20172018Compressed5.arff

For BWbins==0 single instances compressed from temporally contiguous BWbins==0 instances in HawkData20172018Assn5.arff, the *Mean, *Median, and *Mode attributes give the mean (average), median (center value), and mode (most frequently occurring value) for their named counterparts. For numeric values such as Temp this relation holds the Mean and Median. For ordered nominal values such as WindSpd it holds the Median and Mode. For cyclic values such as WindDir it holds only the Mode; these attributes are intrinsically non-linear, wrapping around at North, so Mode is the only measure that makes sense.

For BWbins>0, non-compressed instances as they are in **HawkData20172018Assn5.arff**, the Mean, Median, and Mode fields are identical to their source values. For example, TempMean == TempMedian == Temp for instances with BWbins>0, since these instances are original, uncompressed instances.

STEP5: Remove the attributes originally in **HawkData20172018Assn5.arff** whose names **PRECEDE** the suffixes **Mean**, **Median**, or **Mode** in Attribute List 2. The first to remove from the above list is **Temp**, and the last is **SkyCode**. **DO NOT REMOVE ANY ATTRIBUTE WITH Mean**, **Median**, or **Mode IN ITS NAME**. Also, **Remove msDuration**, since the duration of the instance in minutes correlates strongly with BWbins==0 instances that have been compressed. I used **msDuration** to check output from Python script **HawkAssn5CompressZeroes.py**, but **msDuration** values > 60 basically reflect the compression process. <u>SAVE this 29-attribute</u> working dataset in an ARFF file named **STEP5.arff**. You will complete this assignment using this dataset. Copy your **STEP5.arff** into the acad assignment directory for later **make turnitin**.

STEP6: For any attribute prefix in Attribute List 2 with Mean as its suffix, temporarily Remove attributes with the same attribute prefix from the set of Median and Mode attributes. For example, since TempMean appears, Remove TempMedian. When that removal is complete, for any remaining attribute prefix in Attribute List 2 with Median as its suffix, temporarily Remove attributes with the same attribute prefix from the set of Mode attributes. For example, since WindSpdMedian appears, Remove WindSpdMode. STEP6 eliminates redundant values in the non-target attribute set. For instances with BWbins>0, these attribute values are identical in a given instance. For instances with BWbins==0, these attribute values are strongly correlated in a given instance. We are attempting to estimate the most predictive compressed attributes.

Q5: BWbins is our class attribute for this assignment. Run LinearRegression, M5P, and

M5Rules classifiers, and paste the following result values into Q5 in README.txt. All testing in Assignment 5 uses 10 fold cross-validation, i.e., no external test dataset. <u>How do the</u> <u>LinearRegression and M5P results (correlation coefficient & error measures) compare with your results for Q1 of Assignment 5 above</u>?

LinearRegression		
Correlation coefficient	n.n	
Relative absolute error	n.n %	
Root relative squared error	n.n %	
Total Number of Instances	567	
M5P		
Number of Rules : N		
Correlation coefficient	n.n	
Relative absolute error	n.n %	
Root relative squared error	n.n %	
Total Number of Instances	567	

M5Rules

Number of Rules : N (This is the Rule number of the last Rule listed. Examine the Rules.)Correlation coefficientn.nRelative absolute errorn.n %Root relative squared errorn.n %Total Number of Instances567

Q6. Look at the tree structure and the Number of Rules for the M5P decision tree in Q5 compared with the M5P Number of Rules in Q1. Do you see any trade-off in minimum description length (tree simplicity) versus prediction accuracy in going from the data of Q1 to the compressed data of Q5?

Q7: Unsupervised -> attribute -> Discretize BWbins into 7 bins with

useEqualFrequency=False and ignoreClass=True. Be very careful to Discretize ONLY the BWbins attribute. Make sure the 7 discretized bins have the same instance counts as their pre-Discretize numeric bins in the Preprocessor. See Figure 2 above. Run NaiveBayes, BayesNet, and J48, and paste the following result values into Q7 in README.txt. <u>How do the NaiveBayes, BayesNet, and J48 results (% correct and Kappa) compare with the Q2 results in this assignment above?</u>

NaiveBayes			
Correctly Classified Instances		Ν	n.n %
Kappa statistic	n.n		
Total Number of Instances		567	
BayesNet			
Correctly Classified Instances		Ν	n.n %
Kappa statistic	n.n		

Total Number of Instances	567	
J48		
Correctly Classified Instances	Ν	n.n %
Kappa statistic	n.n	
Total Number of Instances	567	

Q8: How do you judge the effectiveness of collapsing temporally adjacent BWbins==0 instances into single instances in dataset HawkData20172018Compressed5.arff, compared with the uncompressed dataset in HawkData20172018Assn5.arff, in terms of predicting BWbins values?

Q9: In the **Cluster** tab of Weka, run the **SimpleKMeans** clustering algorithm with the default parameters of 2 clusters, and paste the following output table and percentages of instances. Ignoring the Full Data column, what conspicuous differences do you see between the cluster (column) with BWbins==0 compared to the cluster (column) with a non-0 BWbins value?

Final cluster centroids:

Attribute	Full	Data		0	1
	(n.n)		(n.n)	(n.n)
msmnHstart					
msToYearPeak					
TempMean					
CloudCoverMean					
VisibilityMean					
HTempPrev72Mean					
HTempDelta72Mean					
HTempPrev48Mean					
HTempDelta48Mean					
HTempPrev24Mean					
HTempDelta24Mean					
WindSpdMedian					
FlightHTMedian					
SkyCodeMedian					
WindDirMode					
FlightDIRMode					
BWbins	'(n.n-n.n]'	'(n.n-n.n]'	'(n.n-n.n]]'	
Clustered Instances					
0 N (n%)					

1 N (n%)

PREP for Q10: Load HawkData20172018Assn5ZDown.arff into Weka. This is the dataset of HawkData20172018Assn5.arff (Q1) in which I have: A) used Weka's **instance** -> **RemoveWithValues** to partition these instances into two ARFF files, one with all BWbins>0 instances, and the other with all BWbins==0 instances; B) used **instance** -> **Randomize** several times on the BWbins==0 instances, and then used instance -> RemovePercentage to remove 90% of the BWbins==0 instances; C) finally, I used the vim editor to merge the remaining 10% of BWbins==0 with all of the BWbins>0 instances to get the histogram illustrated in Figure 3. You do not have to do anything in this step other than loading HawkData20172018Assn5ZDown.arff.

The reduction in BWbins==0 instances is already saved in that file. There is no compression of temporally contiguous BWbins==0 instances in HawkData20172018Assn5ZDown.arff.

Name: Missing:	BWbins 0 (0%)	Dis	tinct: 7	Ur	Type: Nomina nique: 0 (0%)	I
No.	Label		Count		Weight	
1	'(-inf-0.85	7143]'	182		182.0	
2	'(0.857143	-1.714286]'	107		107.0	
3	'(1.714286	-2.571429]	58		58.0	
4	'(2.571429	-3.428571]	195		195.0	
5	'(3.428571	-4.285714]'	58		58.0	
6	'(4.285714	-5.142857]'	12		12.0	
7	'(5.142857	'-inf)'	4		4.0	
.ss: BWbi	ns (Nom)					Visualize .
ıss: BWbi	ns (Nom)					Visualize
ıss: BWbi	ns (Nom)		195	_		Visualize .
ass: BWbi	ins (Nom)		195			▼ Visualize .
iss: BWbi	ins (Nom)		195			Visualize
ass: BWbi	ns (Nom)		195			Visualize
ass: BWbi	ns (Nom)		195			Visualize .
uss: BWbi	ns (Nom)		195			Visualize .
iss: BWbi	ns (Nom)		195			Visualize .
iss: BWbi	ns (Nom)		195			Visualize
ss: BWbi	ns (Nom)	58	195	58		Visualize
ss: BWbi	ns (Nom)	58	195	58		Visualize .
ass: BWbi	ns (Nom)	58	195	58		▼) (Visualize

Figure 3: BWbins distribution for HawkData20172018Assn5ZDown.arff

Q10: Run the M5P classifier on this dataset and record results below. Next, run Unsupervised -> attribute -> Discretize BWbins into 7 bins with useEqualFrequency=False and ignoreClass=True. Then run the BayesNet classifier on this dataset. Record your results below, and compare the accuracy (correlation coefficient & kappa respectively) to the results of both Q1&Q2 from this assignment (for M5P and BayesNet), and to the compressed BWbins==0 results of Q5&Q7 (for M5P and BayesNet). Strictly in terms of correlation coefficient for M5P, and Kappa for BayesNet, how does this form of BWbins==0 instance count reduction compare with the multiple, temporally contiguous BWbins==0 instance compression of Q5&Q7? Are the correlation coefficient and kappa of Q10 within 10% of their values for Q1 and Q2? Use the formula (Q10 metric – Q1orQ2 metric) / Q1orQ2 metric to determine the percentage rise or fall from Q1 or Q2's metric, where metric is M5P's correlation coefficient or BayesNet's kappa.

Example: (.4-.5)/.5 would be a 20% drop from .5, not a 10% drop.

M5P from Q10:		
Correlation coefficient	n.n	
Relative absolute error	n.n %	
Root relative squared error	n.n %	
Total Number of Instances	616	
BayesNet from Q10:		
Correctly Classified Instances	Ν	n.n %
Kappa statistic	n.n	
Total Number of Instances	616	

Q11: Review the histograms in Figures 1, 2, and 3, which show BWbins Discretized into 7 bins. Think about for which one ZeroR would achieve the highest Correctly Classified Instances, the original instances of Q2 in Figure 1, the compressed BWbins==0 instances of Q7 in Figure 2, or the sampled BWbins==0 instances of Q10 in Figure 3. ZeroR on a discretized class attribute always has a Kappa of 0. How is it possible that the BayesNet Kappa of Q10 (Figure 3) approaches the BayesNet Kappa of Q2 (Figure 1), despite the substantial reduction in Correctly Classified Instances going from Q2 to Q10?

Q12: These points are for a correctly saved and turned in STEP2.arff and STEP5.arff.

When you have completed all of your work and double-checked the assignment requirements, and your **README.txt** that answers Q1 through Q12, and files **STEP2.arff** and **STEP5.arff** are sitting in your csc458fall2019assn5/ directory, then run make turnitin by the due date. Late assignments lose 10% per day late. Due by 11:59 PM on Wednesday December 11 via <u>make</u> turnitin. I will NOT accept solutions to this Assignment 5 after noon on Thursday December 12.