

HANDOUT IV  
MATH 273 CALCULUS III  
DR. MCLOUGHLIN'S  
HANDY DANDY GUIDE TO  
INFINITE SERIES  
PART I

*INCLUDING: TESTS FOR CONVERGENCE OR DIVERGENCE*

**A few reminders:**

A. If  $\Gamma$  is a series, say  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 + a_7 + a_8 + \dots$ ,

then  $S_A = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is called the **sequence of terms** from the series  $\Gamma$ , and

$S_A = a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, \dots$

whereas,

$P_A = a_1, a_1 + a_2, a_1 + a_2 + a_3, a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 \dots$  is called the **sequence of partial sums** of the series  $\Gamma$  and

Let us rewrite  $P_A$  as  $P_A = p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots$  where

$$p_1 = a_1,$$

$$p_2 = a_1 + a_2,$$

$$p_3 = a_1 + a_2 + a_3$$

.

.

$$p_m = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \dots + a_m$$

.

.

.

**Now, by definition**  $\Gamma$  converges iff there exists a real number,  $A$ , such that  $A = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$

$\Gamma$  does not converges (diverges) iff there does not exists a real number,  $B$ , such that  $B = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$

we say when  $\Gamma$  diverges it 'blows up,' 'goes to infinity,' 'goes to negative infinity,' 'it jumps back and forth and doesn't go to a number,' or the sum does not exist.

Definition: Let  $\Gamma$  be the series, say  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$ , and  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be the sequence of partial sums associated with  $\Gamma$ , then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (p_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$  when  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$  converges (the limit of the sequence of partial sums is the same as sum of the series). When  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (p_k)$  does not exist, we say  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$  diverges.

B. One of our favourite series is  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j}$ . It is called the **harmonic series** and diverges (by the integral test, for one).

C. Also remember for the sequence of terms,  $S_A = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

Suppose  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n) = 0$ .

This means *nothing* in terms of whether  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$  converges or not!

Suppose  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$  converges, then it must be the case that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n) = 0$ .

Suppose  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n) \neq 0$ , then it must be the case that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$  diverges.

And suppose  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$  diverges. This means *nothing* in terms of whether

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n) \neq 0$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n) = 0$

The previous notes about the limit of the sequence of terms is one piece of information we can sometimes use to determine whether a series converges or diverges. Let us call it **method 1**.

Some of the others are:

### **Method 2: The Geometric Series Test**

if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is a series such that  $a_k = c(r^{k-1})$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$

and  $|r| < 1 \Rightarrow$  the series converges. Further, it converges to  $\frac{c}{1-r}$ .

$|r| \geq 1 \Rightarrow$  the series diverges

### **Method 3: The Telescoping Series Test<sup>1</sup>**

If  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is a series such that  $a_k$  can be decomposed using partial fractions into so "nice" sum

of fractions which telescope, then the series converges if the infinite sum reduces to a form that is a finite sum. This method is not a method of high choice simply because it is so nebulous in its application / definition. Most of the time, I would say, it is to be used if you are looking to compute the actual value of the series.

### **Method 4: The Integral Test**

If  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is a series such that  $\exists M \in \mathbb{N} \ni \forall k \geq M \quad a_k = f(k)$  and  $f: D \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$

where  $[M, \infty) \subseteq D$  where  $f(x)$  is a continuous, non-increasing function  $\forall x \in [M, \infty)$

and if  $\int_M^{\infty} f(x)dx$  exists (i.e. is  $L$  (a real number)), then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  converges

whilst if  $\int_M^{\infty} f(x)dx$  'does not exist,' or 'goes to  $\infty$ ,' then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  diverges.

---

<sup>1</sup> Note: There is a more detailed discussion in the telescoping handout.

**Method 4A (really a corollary of the integral test): bound on a convergent series via the integral test.**

If  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is a series such that  $\forall k \geq 1 \quad a_k = f(k)$  and  $f: D \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  where  $[M, \infty) \subseteq D$  where

$f(x)$  is a continuous, non-increasing function  $\forall x \in [1, \infty)$

and if  $\int_1^{\infty} f(x)dx$  exists (i.e. = L (a real number)), then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  converges

and further  $0 \leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \right) \leq \left( a_1 + \int_1^{\infty} f(x)dx \right)$  (a very nice result since we have a bound).

**Corollary 4A: the p - series trick**

If  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is a series such that  $a_k = \frac{c}{k^p} \quad \ni c \in \mathbb{R}, p \in \mathbb{R} \wedge p > 0$

then when  $|p| > 1 \Rightarrow$  the series converges.  
 $|p| \leq 1 \Rightarrow$  the series diverges

**Important note: p-series citation is something one uses within the context of a comparison or limit comparison test to show that he knows that the series he picks converges or diverges (as he claims). Free-standing it is not a test for convergence or divergence and should not be used as a free-standing test but only contextually as noted above<sup>2</sup>.**

---

<sup>2</sup> Even though the authors of many texts claim it is a test - it is not *unless* one proves it, then uses it. If one desires to take the time on a test and prove it (correctly, of course), fine then it can be used. I do not expect a student in Calculus III to prove such therefore I suggest most adamantly to heed the warning.

**Method 5: The Direct Comparison Test**

If  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is a series  $\ni a_k > 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$  is a series that *you choose* which is convergent (you must justify the assertion it converges<sup>3</sup>) such that you show

$$\exists M \in \mathbb{N} \ni \forall k \geq M \quad b_k \geq a_k \text{ then since } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \text{ converges, } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \text{ converges.}$$

Whereas, if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is a series  $\ni a_k > 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$  is a series that you choose which is divergent (you must justify the assertion it diverges<sup>4</sup>) such that you show

$$\exists M \in \mathbb{N} \ni \forall k \geq M \quad b_k \leq a_k \text{ then since } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \text{ diverges, } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \text{ diverges.}$$

**Method 6: The Limit Comparison Test** [many students like this test - it is easy to execute]

If  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is a series  $\ni a_k > 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$  is a series that you choose which is convergent (you must justify the assertion it converges<sup>5</sup>)

such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{a_k}{b_k}\right)$  exists

(i.e. = L (a real number)) then since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$  converges,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  converges.

---

<sup>3</sup> Here justification for the assertion is by any other test or citation of the p-value for a p-series. The use of the p-series assertion is justified by the fact that you are not proving  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$  converges or diverges, but  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  converges or diverges. Please note the subtlety of this point.

<sup>4</sup> Ibid.

<sup>5</sup> Ibid.

Whereas, if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is a series  $\ni a_k > 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$  is a series that you choose which is divergent (you can prove the assertion it converges) such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{a_k}{b_k} \right)$  exists **and is non-zero** (i.e. =  $L > 0$  (a real number)) **OR** does not exist : 'blows up,' or 'goes to  $\infty$ ' then, since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$  diverges,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  diverges.

End, Handout 4